Global Warming, Be Very Worried

But 31,487 scientists say ... See the global warming link at Better-News.org

The major media will never tell you about the 31,487 scientists who say the actual facts from science disagree with their *catastrophic* global warming predictions. And 9,029 of these 31,487 scientists have PhDs.

Fear sells magazines. But is the fear based on good science? (Remember, the media reporters have already admitted, that they can find a scientist who agrees with any view they want to present.)

The media often say *most scientists agree:* give the global governments control over the world's energy (*perhaps some scientists want those governments to continue funding their "research."*). The media is often looking for subjects that scare people, because **fear sells**. Fear sells far more media products than good news. So, if the media wants to sell more of its products, they look for those scientists that claim we should be scared about _______. See Time magazine's cover. But the media is helping scare people into giving more control to governments. The global elite will have **more control** and more money (*carbon tax*). But the real issue is, *should we be scared?*

Read the report and petition that 31,487 scientists signed. http://www.petitionproject.org/index.php You may ask:

- 1. But is this report and petition *credible*?
- 2. What is the *purpose* behind the report and petition?
- 3. How are the reports from the United Nations different?



1. <u>Credibility</u>: A 12-page review article by Drs. Robinson, Robinson, and Soon about the human-caused global warming hypothesis (http://www.petitionproject.org/review_article.php), and a letter from Professor Seitz were circulated with the petition. Physicist Frederick Seitz was President of the US National Academy of Sciences and of Rockefeller University. He received the National Medal of Science, the Compton Award, the Franklin Medal, and numerous other awards, including honorary doctorates from 32 Universities around the world. In August 2007, Dr. Seitz reviewed and approved the article and gave his enthusiastic approval to the continuation of the Petition Project.

Petition

We urge the United States government to reject the global warming agreement that was written in Kyoto, Japan in December, 1997, and any other similar proposals. The proposed limits on greenhouse gases would harm the environment, hinder the advance of science and technology, and damage the health and welfare of mankind.

There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate. Moreover, there is substantial scientific evidence that increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide produce many beneficial effects upon the natural plant and animal environments of the Earth.

Please sign here

Please sign here

My academic degree is B.S.

M.S.

Ph.D.

in the field of

PHYSICS

2. What was the purpose for this Petition Project? http://www.petitionproject.org/purpose of petition.php says:

The purpose of the Petition Project is to **demonstrate** that the **claim of "settled science"** and an overwhelming "**consensus**" in favor of the hypothesis of human-caused global warming and consequent climatological damage is wrong. No such consensus or settled science exists. As indicated by the petition text and signatory list, a very large number of American scientists reject this hypothesis.

Publicists at the **United Nations, Mr. Al Gore**, and their supporters frequently claim that **only a few "skeptics"** remain – skeptics who are still unconvinced about the existence of a **catastrophic** *human-caused* global warming **emergency**.

It is evident that 31,487 Americans with university degrees in science – including 9,029 PhDs, are not "a few." Moreover, from the clear and strong petition statement that they have signed, it is evident that these 31,487 American scientists are not "skeptics."

These scientists are instead convinced that the human-caused global warming hypothesis is without scientific validity and that government action on the basis of this hypothesis would unnecessarily and counterproductively damage both human prosperity and the natural environment of the Earth.

3. <u>How is this report different</u> from the many United nations reports (*and can nonscientists understand*)? The factual information cited in this article is referenced to the underlying research literature, in this case by **132 references listed** at the end of the article. Although written primarily for scientists, most of this article can be understood without formal scientific training. This article was **submitted to many scientists** for **comments** and **suggestions** before it was finalized ... It then underwent **ordinary peer review** by the publishing journal.

The United Nations IPCC also publishes a research review in the form of a voluminous, occasionally-updated report on the subject of climate change, which the **United Nations asserts is "authored"** by approximately **600 scientists**. **These "authors" are <u>not</u>, however – as is ordinarily the custom in science – permitted power of approval, for the published review of which they are putative (assumed) authors.** They are permitted to comment on the draft text, but the final text neither conforms to nor includes many of their comments. The final text conforms instead to the United Nations objective <u>of building support for world taxation and rationing of industrially-useful energy.</u> (The UN's objective seems to be power, not science. How else can the UN get more money and power over our lives?)

The major media and United Nations are selling fear. Where are some websites that are more honest with the scientific and historical facts? See Better-News.org: over 50 sources that are much more reliable on *specific* topics that affect your life. You may be like many Americans who say, *Don't brainwash us, just give us the facts, and let us decide*. Give us all the key facts on economics, global warming, history, immigration, health, etc. If you would also like to read about the key scientific evidences that show the Bible has a miraculous Source, see

Michael Faraday, etc.) understood the Bible has a miraculous Source, so they used their Bibles to bust the myths of the "modern science" of their day. The Bible says, test what you are taught (1 Thess. 5:21)? 3400 years ago, Moses wrote about wooden bowls being better for infectious diseases than pottery (how did Moses know that many woods have anti-bacterial properties). Job described a feature on the bottom of the ocean floor (average 2 miles deep). Before 1400 AD scientists said there were only about 1000 stars. But Moses and the New Testament recorded that the stars were too many to be counted, long before the telescope was invented (Hebrews 11:12, Genesis 15:5, Genesis 22:17). How did the Bible know that? See the video. New short videos by World Video Bible School here (See amazing archaeological discoveries, incredible scientific facts, ...). https://video.wvbs.org/program/evidence-for-gods-word/ See MiraculousBible.org or UpwardEvolution.org